

AWARD NOMINATION PACKAGE

2010 REAL PROPERTY INSTITUTE OF CANADA

AWARD OF EXCELLENCE IN THE FIELD

OF CONTAMINATED SITES

To acknowledge the contributions made by individuals and/or teams in setting a high standard and contributing to the federal contaminated sites field through innovation, quality, sustainability, and leadership.

FEDERAL CONTAMINATED SITES

SITES CONTAMINÉS FÉDÉRAUX



NATIONAL WORKSHOP **2010** ATELIER NATIONAL

Award Criteria

Individuals/organizations receiving this recognition will have made a significant contribution, within the past two years, in advancing the contaminated sites field. Nominees (either individually or as a group) should demonstrate a high level of personal involvement and have demonstrated exceptional leadership in the contaminated sites community of practice and in public involvement/outreach.

Judging Criteria

Nominations will be scored based on the following criteria:

- **Leadership** (worth 40% of the final score)
Have the nominee/group's efforts clearly demonstrated vision, foresight and determination?
- **Advancement within the contaminated site field** (worth 25% of final score)
Have the nominee/group's project/initiative/work led to improvements in the field and/or contributed to accomplishments by others?
- **Effectiveness** (worth 25% of the final score)
What were the nominee/group's goals? How has success against these goals been measured?
- **Innovation, creativity and originality** (worth 10% of final score)
Were the nominee/group's efforts, methods, strategies and/or ideas innovative?

Entry Requirements

- Completed nomination form.
- Names, titles, and responsibilities of those involved in the project.
- Project documentation as per attached form.
- **Deadline for submission: March 15, 2010**

Award

A plaque with a commemorative inscription will be presented to the winner(s) at the 2010 RPIC Federal Contaminated Sites National Workshop, and announced on the RPIC website.

DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION MARCH 15, 2010

Real Property Institute of Canada
c/o The Willow Group
1485 Laperriere Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K1Z 7S8
Tel: 613.729.6117
Fax: 613.729.6206
E-mail: rpic_fcsnw@thewillowgroup.com

Visit the RPIC web site at: www.rpic-ibic.ca

Scores will be attributed using the following:

- Do the nominee/group's efforts clearly demonstrate leadership in the field?
- Were the nominee/group's activities proactive?
- Have the nominee/group's activities promoted public awareness and understanding and shown active concern and leadership for the enhancement and protection of the environment particularly in the contaminated site field (if so, please describe)?
- Was the nominee/group instrumental in identifying, reducing, and solving contaminated sites issues, and advancing the contaminated sites field?
- Do the benefits of the actions extend beyond the nominee/group, contribute to the contaminated site community at large, and possibly lend itself to replication by others doing similar work?
- Has the nominee/group's actions resulted from, created or enhanced existing partnerships (if so, please describe)?
- What objectives/goals were established for this activity, and how were the results measured?
- What specific objectives/ goals were met, and have they resulted in net benefit to the contaminated site field?
- What were the nominee/group's activities/ approach to a particular contamination problem or challenge facing a particular geographical area (local, regional, state-wide, national, a city, a community) or a specific area of contamination?
- Does the project/work demonstrate the use of practices that exemplify outstanding creativity/innovation or introduce new approaches?
- Do nominee/group's efforts clearly demonstrate the use of practices that go beyond standard techniques or regulatory standards?

Nomination forms must address the following five areas in a maximum of 1,500 words.

- **Brief overview of the work/project**
- **Leadership**
Have the nominee/group's efforts clearly demonstrated vision, foresight and determination?
- **Advancement within the contaminated site field**
Have the nominee/group's project/initiative/work led to improvements in the field and/or contributed to accomplishments by others?
- **Effectiveness**
What were the nominee/group's goals? How has success against these goals been measured?
- **Innovation, creativity and originality**
Were the nominee/group's efforts, innovative methods, strategies and/or ideas?

